



ERS PUBLISHING

JERSY

Journal of the European Royal Society
BIOLOGY – CHEMISTRY – GEOLOGY – PHYSICS

ISSN 2166-2444
print
ISSN 2166-2452
online

volume 3

issue 1

2 0 1 4





Letters to the Editor

Big Bang downgraded to a bang in first scientific proof of (Type I) Multiverse

HM Mensur Omerbashich, King of Bosnia and All of Illyria (titular)

Bosnian Royal Family, P.O. Box 1, Sarajevo, Bosnia

hm@royalfamily.ba, dvor@bosnianroyalfamily.org

Dear Editor:

After the 27/28 March 2014, release of BICEP2 – the first claimed confirmation of the Starobinsky-Linde inflationary cosmological theory – I hereby claim precedence in scientifically confirming the Multiverse (of Tegmark's Type I, as due to Scale Invariance I proved earlier). The said theory and others require infinite vacuum-energy filling the space beyond our known universe in order for any, including our known universe, to come into being.

Hyperverse as a continuum of infinitely many universes which mutually orbit and oscillate in tune means that universes continue infinitely. This makes a fundamentally different understanding of reality than previous beliefs, and is commonly referred to as Multiverse. One could argue philosophically – on principle alone – that my discovery shows us how universes can and do arise, meaning not necessarily by the same token as ours did.

I was the first theorist who, in 2006, proved Multiverse scientifically: both experimentally and multi-physically, from Earth's own most accurate observations, and by disregarding our universe's units. I did so by expending on the Multiverse concept and generalizing the Earth-Moon system's secondary (magnified-resonance) gravity effects, *ad infinitum*. As the outcome, I expressed the Newtonian gravitational constant, G, and thus gravity itself too, via the speed of light, c: <http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0608026>. There, G and c were taken in their absolute form, i.e., as proportions, since no one knows what physics applies in outer universes. G was thus considered without units, which Newton anyway appended to it only in order to close his own (our universe's) physics mathematically. Here "only" is not meant of disrespect for Newton, but to stress that I did not make prior assumptions as to the physics of

any other universe. It is an end time though, for us to stop being hung up on Earthbound units of G.

In my proof, I used over 10 billion gravity observations – spanning one decade – from the (Canadian) superconducting gravimeter as the world's most accurate scientific instrument, which is used also for verifying G. My expressing of G via c on both quantum and mechanist scales showed beyond doubt that Hyperverse of multiverses is real and not just hypothetical. That, most simple functional relationship $g = f(c)$ means gravity is a function of speed of light at all scales and in all universes - hence infinitely many universes exist. And since I was also able to demonstrate the Scale Invariance of all physics, then multiverses of Type I are the only possible ones. The equation also tells how quantum mechanics and gravity are unified. After Maxwell famously hinted in late 19th century at mechanical oscillators as the fundamental principle of nature, Einstein was first who in 1930s stumbled upon our Solar-system's relationship between g and c . Unfortunately, while calling it a "remarkable connection" he also noted it could be "purely due to chance", and so he left it at that.¹

Being a US citizen, I had sent my calculation for verification to David Wineland of NIST in 2008, however he published it as his own and shared the 2012 physics Nobel Prize for "abridging of quantum and mechanist worlds" while not offering a theory behind it. I counterclaimed his Prize.²

Curiously, the Hyperverse/Multiverse concept contradicts the original Big Bang theory the way a Roman-Catholic priest Lemaitre envisioned it – while probably (him being a priest with a science degree, rather than a science evangelist as some kindly portray him) basing it on Genesis in a way that tried to make Big Bang the final word of cosmology and our known universe all that there was/is. My proof of the Hyperverse of infinitely many universes (and thus inflationary multiverses

too) is the first scientific evidence that Genesis is wrong and likely a reason for nearly decade-long censoring of my basic discoveries. Scientific outlets such as Nature, Science and Scientific American magazines also played a role in that censoring, possibly from a different perspective – one determined by political influences as well as prejudice that would excommunicate geophysicists and/or ethnic minorities from Society of Physicists. Outright thefts of parts of my discovery (gravity expressed in terms of the speed of light) occurred also.

The above proof is the core of my subsequent cosmological Hyperresonance Unifying Theory (HUT) that has seen more than ten confirmations and strongly supporting indications over the past two years since you kindly published it in JERSY: <http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00808674>.

While it demonstrated the existence of infinitely many universes both mathematically and multi-physically (without our universe's units; from Earths most accurate gravity measurements), HUT also confirmed the string theories' paradigm on oscillatory rather than particlegenic reality. Finally, HUT has proved the Einstein's long-undisputed claim that quantum physics is bogus, i.e., locally real – or, as I showed it, no more than a byproduct of secondary gravitational effects within the Earth's vicinity, to the Moon at least. Quantum physics may obey dissimilar stochastic rules in different body-systems and universes.

Let it be mentioned that, unlike observational evidence that can get different interpretations for various reasons, a mathematical proof such as mine is impossible to question. For no sane individual will ever question a mathematical equation – a quantifiable logical construct in which two statements are equal to the best of our knowledge or to within prescribed tolerance limits – here the limits set by none other than the NIST!

- [1] Schröder, W., Treder, H.-J. (1997) Einstein and geophysics: Valuable contributions warrant a second look. *EOS, Transactions American Geophysical Union* 78(43):479–485, 28 October. DOI: 10.1029/97EO00295. First published online: 3 JUN 2011, at: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/97EO00295/abstract>
- [2] Gray, A. (2013) Did David Wineland and Serge Haroche Steal Idea For The Nobel Physics Prize? *Newsblaze*, 12 Jan, <http://newsblaze.com/story/20130112074228nnnn.nb/topstory.html>
- [3] The Bosnian Royal Family's NewsDesk (2014) Big Bang downgraded to a bang in the first scientific proof of Type I Multiverse, by Omerbashich (2006) <http://mynewsdesk.com/ba/pressreleases/as-big-bang-gets-downgraded-to-a-bang-the-first-scientific-proof-of-the-multiverse-claimed-975493>